REMARKS

Claims 1, 5, 9 and 10 are pending in the present application. By this Amendment, each of

claims 1 and 9 have been amended, and claims 5 and 10 have been cancelled. No new matter has

been added. It is respectfully submitted that this Amendment is fully responsive to the Office

Action dated October 28, 2005.

35 U.S.C. §112, Second Paragraph Rejection:

Claims 1 and 9 stand rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite

for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards

as the invention.

This rejection is respectfully traversed.

The Examiner asserts in item 3 of the Action that "[t]he claim language fails to limit or

indicate what is being referred to in 'a second format.' However, it is respectfully submitted

that the Examiner's position is overcritical since one of ordinary skill in the art would readily

understand that that the second decoding program is in a second format.

Please see, lines 12-13, page 2 of the Action.

Response

Serial No. 10/049,616

Attorney Docket No. 020179

In addition, the Examiner asserts that the determining feature of claims 1 and 9 is

confusing. However, each of claims 1 and 9 has been amended to clarify the claim language in

accordance with the description provided in lines 16-19 of page 10 of the present specification.

Accordingly, withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully requested.

As to the Merits:

As to the merits of this case, the Examiner sets forth the following rejection:

claims 1, 5, 9-10 stand rejected under 35 USC 102(e) as being anticipated by Heo (U.S.

Patent No. 6,449,227).

This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Independent Claim 1:

Independent claim 1 calls for a recording means for recording into a recording medium a

data file in which a sound signal encoded in a first format and a first decoding program

according to said first format are stored.

In contrast, the DVD-Audio player of Heo fails to include any type of recording means

for recording a data file. Instead, as shown in Fig. 27 of Heo, the DVD-Audio player only

includes a pickup device 112 for reading the information already stored on a DVD.

Therefore, it is submitted that Heo fails to anticipate the features of claim 1, since Heo

fails to disclose or fairly suggest the features of claim 1 concerning a recording means for

recording into a recording medium a data file in which a sound signal encoded in a first format

and a first decoding program according to said first format are stored.

Independent Claim 9:

Independent claim 9, as amended, now calls for said recording medium records said first

decoding program corresponding to said first number-of-bit operation and said second decoding

program corresponding to a second number-of-bit operation, said validater loads said first

decoding program corresponding to said first number-of-bit operation from said recording

medium when said determination result represents disagreement, wherein said second decoding

program is not recorded on said recording medium.

With regard to claim 9, the Examiner assets on page 5, lines 2-6 that:

Heo further indicates that decoding based upon the number bit for the particularly coded audio data of PCM or compression coded audio data (col. 24, lines 12-50, and col. 30, lines 37-51), thus

indicates a the recording means recording the decoding programs according the a 1st

number-of-bit operation a 2nd number-of-bit operation.

Response

Serial No. 10/049,616

Attorney Docket No. 020179

However, it is respectfully submitted that in Heo all the decoding programs are stored in

the DVD and not in the DVD-Audio player. This is contrast to the present invention wherein,

for example, as discussed in the last paragraph on page 10 of the present specification, there is

previously at least one decoding software program stored in the ROM 22a provided in the DSP

22.

As such, it is respectfully submitted that <u>Heo</u> fails to disclose or fairly suggest the features

of claim 9, as amended, concerning said second decoding program is not recorded on said

recording medium.

In view of the aforementioned amendments and accompanying remarks, Applicants

submit that that the claims, as herein amended, are in condition for allowance. Applicants

request such action at an early date.

If the Examiner believes that this application is not now in condition for allowance, the

Examiner is requested to contact Applicants' undersigned attorney to arrange for an interview to

expedite the disposition of this case.

Response Serial No. 10/049,616 Attorney Docket No. 020179

If this paper is not timely filed, Applicants respectfully petition for an appropriate extension of time. The fees for such an extension or any other fees that may be due with respect to this paper may be charged to Deposit Account No. 50-2866.

Respectfully submitted,

WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP

Thomas E. Brown
Attorney for Applicants
Registration No. 44,450
Telephone: (202) 822-1100

Facsimile: (202) 822-1111

TEB/jl